Document Number Case Number Western District of Wisconsin

Theresa M. Owens

Filed/Received 09/05/2006 01:52:34 PM CDT

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

JAY J. SCHINDLER,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 05-C-0705-C vs.

MARSHFIELD CLINIC, et al., Madison, Wisconsin

July 27, 2006

9:40 a.m. Defendants.

TRANSCRIPT OF TELEPHONIC CONFERENCE HELD BEFORE MAGISTRATE JUDGE STEPHEN L. CROCKER

TELEPHONIC APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiff: Kamensky, Rubinstein, Hochman

& Delott, LLP

BY: MARK BROMAN

7250 North Cicero Avenue Lincolnwood, IL 60712-1693

For the Defendants: Quarles & Brady

BY: DONALD K. SCHOTT

P.O. Box 2113

Madison, WI 53701-2113

Transcription Service: S-B Transcription Service

> 5212 East Lacy Road Fitchburg, WI 53711

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by certified transcription service.

MR. SCHOTT: Have the tape recorder on if we're going to put something on the record.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, I just turned it on, it's that simple. And let me indicate that this is Schindler versus Marshfield Clinic, et al. The case number is 05-C-705-C. Counsel, just for the record then since we are of record, why don't you repeat your appearances, please. On behalf of the plaintiff.

MR. BROMAN: Michael Broman.

THE COURT: All right, good morning. And on behalf of Marshfield and the individual doctor defendants.

MR. SCHOTT: This is Don Schott, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, which of you would like to commemorate your agreement on the record?

MR. SCHOTT: Well, this is Don Schott. I'll go first and obviously Mr. Broman will let me know if I've misstated anything here, but our agreement is as follows. There are three pending motions before you involving our respective clients, Dr. Schindler and Marshfield Clinic defendants. That is the motion for protective order by Marshfield Clinic defendants, the motion to compel by Dr. Schindler against Marshfield Clinic, and a motion for enlargement of time with respect to responding to the motion for partial summary judgment that has been filed by Marshfield Clinic, that that motion for enlargement of time was filed by Dr. Schindler.

And what we've agreed to is the following, that all three of those motions can be put on hold. There's no need for you to decide any of the three of those until after Judge Crabb has decided the partial summary judgment motion. We've also agreed to modify the briefing schedule so that the response brief of Dr. Schindler which is currently due tomorrow I believe is due on August 14th and our Marshfield Clinic defendants' reply brief is due 10 days after that, August 24th. And we all agreed -
THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SCHOTT: And we also agreed that when

MR. SCHOTT: And we also agreed that when Dr. Schindler responds on the 24th he will not be making an argument under Rule 56(f) that he cannot respond at this point in time because of the need for additional discovery, particularly that discovery that is related to the discovery motions that we're putting on hold.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Broman, is that in fact the agreement that you've reached?

MR. BROMAN: Yes, that is. I mean, we've agreed on a couple of other stipulations but I don't think at this point in time we probably need to make those as a matter of record. Don, what do you think?

MR. SCHOTT: I don't know that we do. I mean --

MR. BROMAN: I mean, we stipulated --

MR. SCHOTT: I can briefly say --

MR. BROMAN: I'm sorry.

MR. SCHOTT: I can briefly say that I had sent
Mr. Broman an email earlier this week with respect to some
stipulations regarding Dr. Ruggles and a memo that Dr. Ruggles
prepared and we will stipulate to that in terms of a formal
stipulation. So Mr. --

THE COURT: Sure. Well, and I'll --

MR. BROMAN: And we've also stipulated that you're going to give me a list of documents you do not have that have been requested.

MR. SCHOTT: Correct.

MR. BROMAN: And that with respect to I think that -the damage discovery interrogatory, that that doesn't -- also
doesn't have to be responded to until after we get a ruling on
the summary judgment.

MR. SCHOTT: That's correct.

MR. BROMAN: Yeah.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, this is all of record. I will direct the Clerk's Office to get a transcript prepared. Frankly, I don't think it will be necessary. I think you're all going to honor the agreement in any event, but at least then we've got a piece of paper in the file. And your timing is perfect. I had this on my desk, I started reviewing it last night and you've just saved me about six hours of work. So, thank you both.

MR. BROMAN: That's why we were hoping to get in touch

with you this morning.

2.0

THE COURT: Well, I'm glad I took your call. You also both may have been contacted by the Clerk's Office because in my cursory review of the submissions last night it at least appeared to me that there might have been some sealed documents e-filed, which of course means those documents are available to the public. And our docket number is 69. I don't have your docket pulled up right now but they would have been Exhibits B, D and N, and maybe O through R, and I think they were submitted by the plaintiff. And some of them said confidential on top and at least one of them said sealed.

MR. BROMAN: Okay.

THE COURT: So what I'd like is for you just to double-check those because certainly you're entitled to submit them to the Court, but if they should still be sealed, if they haven't been made public or they're subject to your protective order, then you need to let us know and we'll strike them from the e-file and you'll just get -- you can send us hard copies which we will then put in the sealed part of the folder.

MR. BROMAN: Okay.

THE COURT: And is that enough information for you to find them?

MR. BROMAN: Yeah. Yeah.

THE COURT: Okay. And again, I don't know that but when I saw that I just wanted to follow up with both sides.

1	MR. BROMAN: Yeah. I will have Mike Brown follow up
2	with your clerk
3	THE COURT: Okay.
4	MR. BROMAN: on Monday.
5	THE COURT: That would be fine. Just let us know what
6	we need to do and we will make it happen.
7	MR. BROMAN: That's wonderful.
8	THE COURT: Okay. Well, I won't prolong the joy.
9	Anything else today on behalf of the plaintiff?
10	MR. BROMAN: No, we're fine.
11	THE COURT: Great. Anything else today on behalf of
12	the defendants?
13	MR. SCHOTT: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
14	THE COURT: Excellent. Well, thank you both and have
15	good days.
16	MR. BROMAN: You, too.
17	MR. SCHOTT: Bye now.
18	(Proceedings concluded at 9:45 a.m.)
19	
20	
21	I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the
22	electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above- entitled matter.
23	
24	Susan Barsness 8/23/06 Date
25	Certified Transcriptionist